NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

THE PEOPLE,	
Plaintiff and Respondent,	F058936
v.	(Super. Ct. No. TF005367A)
DAYNA BLACKWOOD,	OPINION
Defendant and Appellant.	OPINION

THE COURT*

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Kern County. Gary R. Witt, Judge.

Deborah Prucha, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

Office of the State Attorney General, Sacramento, California, for Plaintiff and Respondent.

-00O00-

^{*}Before Wiseman, Acting P.J., Gomes, J., Kane, J.

On August 3, 2009, Kern County deputies went to the residence of appellant, Dayna Blackwood, to conduct a probation search and were let in by Blackwood. During the search, Blackwood was seen tucking something down her waistband. When asked about the item, Blackwood handed the deputies a small plastic bag containing .66 grams of methamphetamine.

On August 5, 2009, the district attorney filed an information charging Blackwood with possession of methamphetamine (Health & Saf. Code, § 11377, subd. (a)), a prior prison term enhancement (Pen. Code, § 667.5, subd. (b)), and having a prior conviction within the meaning of the three strikes law (Pen. Code, § 667, subds. (b)-(i)).

On August 19, 2009, Blackwood pled guilty to the possession charge and admitted having a prior strike conviction in exchange for a lid of four years, concurrent time on four misdemeanor cases, and the dismissal of the prior prison term enhancement.

On September 17, 2009, the court sentenced Blackwood to the middle term of two years, doubled to four years because of her prior strike conviction. The court also dismissed two traffic cases, and imposed concurrent terms in four misdemeanor cases.

Blackwood's appellate counsel has filed a brief which summarizes the facts, with citations to the record, raises no issues, and asks this court to independently review the record. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Blackwood has not responded to this court's invitation to submit additional briefing.

Following independent review of the record, we find that no reasonably arguable factual or legal issues exist.

The judgment is affirmed.

..